Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Earth and Planetary Science Letters



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/epsl

Ancient volcanism and its implication for thermal evolution of Mars

Long Xiao ^{a,*}, Jun Huang ^a, Philip R. Christensen ^b, Ronald Greeley ^b, David A. Williams ^b, Jiannan Zhao ^a, Qi He ^a

^a Planetary Science Institute, Faculty of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China ^b School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 April 2011 Received in revised form 16 January 2012 Accepted 22 January 2012 Available online 15 February 2012

Editor: T. Spohn

Keywords: ancient volcanoes volcanism thermal evolution Mars

ABSTRACT

Volcanism plays an important role in the formation and thermal evolution of the crusts of all terrestrial planets. Martian volcanoes have been extensively studied, and it has been suggested that the volcanism on Mars that created the visible volcanic features was initiated in the Noachian (>3.8 Ga) and continued to the Late Amazonian (<0.1 Ga). However, styles of ancient volcanism, their links with the earliest volcanic constructions, and the thermal evolution of the planet are still not well understood. Here we show that numerous Early Noachian (>4.0 Ga) volcanoes are preserved in the heavily cratered southern highlands. Most of these are central volcanoes with diameters ranging from 50 to 100 km and heights of 2–3 km. Most of them are spatially adjacent to and temporally continuous with the Tharsis and circum-Hellas volcanic provinces, suggesting that these two volcanic provinces have experienced more extensive and longer duration volcanism than previously thought. These edifices are heavily cut by radial channels, suggesting that an early phase of aqueous erosion occurred and ended prior to the emplacement of the encircling Hesperian lava fields.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mars experienced long-term volcanism, preserves many large volcanic constructs, and is probably the best planet for studying ancient volcanic processes in the early solar system. Recent studies have led to a general picture of martian volcanism (Carr and Head, 2010; Neukum et al., 2010; Werner, 2005, 2009; Williams et al., 2008, 2009). Noachian volcanoes and volcanic features include highland paterae and mare ridge-like Late Noachian-Hesperian ridged plains (Neukum et al., 2010: Werner, 2009), whereas volcanoes with Hesperian to Amazonian eruptive products are mainly represented by large shield volcanoes on the Tharsis rise and in the Elysium Montes (Carr and Head, 2010; Werner, 2009). Because Noachian volcanoes record valuable information about the earliest thermal evolution and formation of the martian crust, they are important sources of information for martian geologic studies. However, little is known about Noachian volcanism styles (Greeley and Spudis, 1981; Tanaka et al., 1992), especially Early Noachian volcanology (Stewart and Head, 2001), despite the fact that the most extensive magmatism and volcanism are expected in the early stage of martian crust formation (Breuer and Spohn, 2006; Greeley and Schneid, 1991; Grott et al., 2007; Kronberg et al., 2007; Morschhauser et al., 2011; Nimmo and Tanaka, 2005; Schubert et al., 1992).

Long-term and significant geologic modification, heavy degradation, and resurfacing processes complicate the identification and characterization of the volcanoes produced in the Noachian (Scott and Tanaka, 1981a). Highland ridged plains are probably of volcanic origin by analogy with mare-type ridges on lunar flood lavas; the martian ridged plains were produced in Early Hesperian (Greeley and Schneid, 1991). Many of the prominent isolated mountains within the Coprates rise. Thaumasia highlands. Daedalia Planum and Terra Sirenum provinces occur along or near faults and commonly exhibit summit depressions and highly dissected flanks. Some of them have been interpreted as ancient volcanoes or older crustal materials (e.g. Noachian mountain materials (Nm), Noachian highly-deformed terrain materials, basement complex (Nb), Noachian older fractured materials (Nf) and Noachian plains unit-hilly (Nplh): Dohm et al., 1998, 2001a, 2001b; Hodges and Moore, 1994; Saunders et al., 1980; Scott and Tanaka, 1981a, 1981b, 1986; Witbeck et al., 1991). Dohm and Tanaka (1999) mapped fourteen possible volcanoes in the Thaumasia region and proposed that this region preserves some of the best examples of early highland volcanoes and intermediate age plateau lavas on Mars. They also argued that these prominent mountains are not the oldest rocks of the plateau (as interpreted by Scott and King, 1984) and are not likely to be massifs of ancient impact basins as suggested by Craddock et al. (1990). Their stratigraphic study and crater counts for the volcanoes suggest that they formed throughout most of the Noachian and into the Early Hesperian (Dohm and Tanaka, 1999).

Recent crater counts based on High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) and Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS) data yielded

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* longxiao@cug.edu.cn (L. Xiao).

⁰⁰¹²⁻⁸²¹X/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.01.027

an age of about 3.8–3.9 Ga for the circum-Hellas highland patera volcanoes, suggesting that they are among the oldest central volcanoes on Mars (Williams et al., 2009). However, based on the hypothesis that volcanism generally declines in the planet's history (Carr and Head, 2010; Greeley and Schneid, 1991; Nimmo and Tanaka, 2005; Schubert et al., 1992), it is important to examine whether and where older volcanic structures and products may be located. Fortunately, newly obtained high spatial resolution exploration data allow us to do detailed study about possible older volcanic constructs and to further our understanding of early martian thermal evolution. In this study, we used global THEMIS daytime-IR, HRSC, Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC), and Context Camera (CTX) image data and identified several tens of prominent mountains in the southern highlands, most of which we interpret as old central volcanoes. Some of these features have been previously mapped as older crustal materials, including volcanic structures, as discussed above (Dohm and Tanaka, 1999; Greeley and Guest, 1987; Saunders et al., 1980; Tanaka and Davis, 1988; Tanaka and Scott, 1987). Combined with a study of degradational features on the volcanoes and a previously-published thermal model (Breuer and Spohn, 2006), we discuss the Noachian volcanic history of Mars and how it was influenced by subsequent degradation by water, cratering, younger volcanism, and tectonism. A generally integrated model of ancient martian volcanism is proposed.

2. Prominent mountains/hills in southern highlands

2.1. Mountain building processes on terrestrial planet surfaces

There are a variety of shapes of prominent topographic edifices on Mars, especially in the southern highlands. These include large volcanic constructs (e.g., Olympus Mons, the Tharsis Montes, and Elysium Mons), small circular isolated hills, and irregular prominent edifices of various sizes. On the Earth, mountains may form through geologic processes such as folding, faulting, erosion of larger landforms, deposition of sediment by glaciers (e.g., moraines and drumlins), or by erosion of rock that weathers into hills. It is important to distinguish whether a mountain is formed by volcanism or other geologic processes.

Mountain building on Mars might be simpler as compared to Earth, because Mars is a one-plate planet (Banerdt et al., 1982). Geologic processes on Mars that could form mountains or hills include volcanism, impact, and faulting through compressive deformation. Weathering and erosion usually form valleys, although in some cases, they could also form mesas by deep erosion in a region of channel-developed networks. Other potential mountain-forming mechanisms on Mars are salt tectonism (Adams et al., 2009) and laccolithic structure developed by shallow intrusions. Mountains formed by these different origins can be distinguished on the basis of their morphology and regional setting. Volcanism often forms isolated, generally circular or elliptical outlines, prominent edifices with central pits (i.e., calderas) that differ from elongated mountains or hills formed by folding and faulting. Fault-cut folds can form isolated mountains, but they often occur as clusters or chains of hills. An impact structure is typically a circular or oval depression with a circular raised rim (Carr, 2006; French, 1998; Melosh and Vickery, 1989; Moutsoulas and Preka, 1982) that is distinctive compared with peak or summit depressions of volcanoes. An impact crater floor is lower than the surrounding rim, while the caldera floor of volcanoes is often higher than the surrounding plains, with the exception of maars. Some martian impact craters have been modified by erosion, leaving the crater rim and ejecta field to stand above the surrounding area. Some of these pedestal craters are hundreds of meters above the surrounding area, suggesting that hundreds of meters of material have been eroded (Arvidson et al., 1976). Barlow (2005) proposed models of the latitudinal distribution of ice-rich mantles suggesting that pedestal craters may result from sublimation of the surrounding ice-rich material, with both the crater and its ejecta stand above the surroundings. However, pedestal craters are typically <5 km in diameter and occur in fine-grained deposits which often correlate with the high-H₂O-content regions identified by the Mars Odyssey Gamma Ray Spectrometer (GRS) (Barlow, 2005). It means pedestal craters are small and are mostly distributed in high latitude and ice-rich areas. Finally, cinder cones, rootless cones, pingos, and other pitted cones are also volcanolike in morphology. However, these edifices are generally much smaller in size and show cluster chains, and/or swarms that are different than those volcanoes identified in this study (see next section). Thus, volcanoes on Mars can be distinguished from tectonic and impacts features based on their geologic setting, shape, size, morphology and stratigraphy.

2.2. Reappraisal of the origin of mountains in the southern highlands

Many authors have mapped the southern highlands of Mars and provided fundamental geological information of the region by using Mariner and Viking imagery (e.g. Greeley and Guest, 1987; Saunders et al., 1980; Scott and Tanaka, 1981a, 1981b, 1986; Tanaka and Scott, 1987). Those prominent relief terrains in the southern highlands were named as volcanoes and mountainous material (Nm), highlydeformed terrain materials, basement complex (Nb), flow and construct material (Nfc, HNfc), highly-deformed terrain materials, older fractured material (Nf) and hilly (Nplh). Due to the resolution of Mariner images and lack of high-resolution topography, a definite age and origin for the mountains were not able to be determined. Even with the high-resolution images from the Viking mission, a more detailed assessment of the age and origin of the mountains was not possible (Ghatan and Head, 2002; Tanaka and Scott, 1987). Later geological mapping (e.g., Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b; Skinner et al., 2006) and other studies (Dohm and Tanaka, 1999; Ghatan and Head, 2002; Grott et al., 2007) using higher resolution data (e.g. MOLA, MOC, THEMIS, HRSC, etc. see next section) provided more detailed descriptions and different interpretation about most of the features. For example, Scott and Tanaka (1981b) mapped the Phaethontis-Thaumasia region and named most of the isolated mountains as volcanoes (about 20 volcanoes in the Thaumasia and surrounding region). Later study by Dohm and Tanaka (1999) mapped thirteen volcanoes in the Thaumasia region, while Scott and Tanaka (1986) and Dohm et al. (2001a, 2001b) classified most of them as Nfc, Nplh, Nf, etc. In these geological maps, Nfc was interpreted as ancient volcanoes and lava flows and (or) eroded high-standing outcrops of plateau material. While it is possible that significant erosion could have occurred to produce these high-standing plateau materials, there is no evidence for the processes that would have produced the original uplift, of which the isolated remnants remain. There are no aligned mountain ranges higher than 2000 m-3000 m on Mars formed by tectonism (see Table S1), and our study of all high relief units suggests that degradational processes could not have eroded edifices of isolated relief that are the heights observed (mostly higher than 2000 m). Other evidence against erosion producing such high-standing outcrops is that these mountains are embayed by Middle-Early Hesperian and Late Noachian materials. To erode several thousand meters of thick materials is unlikely to have happened in such a short period of time for a geologically inactive planet like Mars. Therefore, we conclude that these features are volcanoes rather than uneroded high-standing outcrops of plateau material.

The geologic map unit Nplh (Hilly unit—forms mesas, knobs, and broad areas that rise above surrounding materials) was interpreted to be impact breccia, volcanic materials, and possibly older crustal materials, with its high elevation caused by tectonic uplift and impact-basin formation during the period of heavy bombardment (Dohm and Tanaka, 1999; Tanaka and Scott, 1987). We examined the morphology of most of the isolated Nplh units in our studied region and suggest that their high elevation (2000 m–3000 m higher than surroundings, see next section) could not be formed by tectonic uplift or impact-basin formation. As discussed above, tectonic uplift caused by folding or faulting should produce elongated and aligned depressions or high relief blocks, and impact processes should produce circular raised rims. In contrast, these Nplh are mostly isolated mountain features with random distributions relative to the surrounding topography, making tectonic and impact processes unlikely candidates for their formation. In addition, the mountains in this study are far away from large impact basins and, given their dated age of > 3.9 Gya (see below), it seems unlikely that they could have been degraded from impact basin rims to isolated hills in so short time. Other processes for the formation of these isolated mountains can also be ruled out. As indicated by Dohm and Tanaka (1999), the stratigraphic relations between mountains and embayed materials suggest that the mountains are not the oldest rocks of the plateau. Thus they are not the massifs of ancient impact basins or other uplifted ancient crustal materials, e.g. basement complex (Nb).

In summary, based on the detailed morphological and stratigraphic study, we suggest that most of the ancient mountains mapped in this study are of volcanic origin. Their morphology and stratigraphy are described in next section.

2.3. Volcanic features on martian highlands

Viking, THEMIS, HRSC, MOC, and CTX images provide sufficient resolution to examine the details of volcanic morphology and for recognition of volcanoes that have not been previously identified. Based on these data and criteria mentioned above, 75 hills have been identified and interpreted or confirmed as small volcanoes in the Noachian Coprates rise, Thaumasia highlands, Thaumasia Fossae, Icaria Fossae and outside the Thaumasia block (Fig. 1; Table 1, Table S1). Their diameters range from 50 to 100 km with heights above the plains of 2–3 km.

These small volcanic mountains can be divided into three groups based on the degree of modification and degradation. Group 1 includes relatively well-preserved volcanoes with shield-like edifices that are dissected by radial channels with little tectonic deformation (Fig. 2a). There are 36 shield volcanoes characterized as Group 1 (Fig. 2a; Table S1). The large flat-floored crater near the summit of the volcano in Fig. 2a is probably a preserved caldera. Radial channels developed on these volcanoes might be volcanic channels that have been modified by fluvial processes. In general, few of these volcanoes have summit craters because collapse, degradation, and subsequent eruptions may have destroyed the original calderas.

Group 2 includes moderately degraded volcanoes that have experienced extensional tectonic deformation such as rifting (Grott et al., 2005; Hauber and Kronberg, 2001; Kronberg et al., 2007) in addition to impact cratering and channeling (Fig. 2b). There are 14 moderately modified shield volcanoes in the study area. The volcanoes in the Thaumasia highlands were cut by NW-trending faults, mostly expressed as rifts. Volcanoes outside the Thaumasia Highlands were cut by both NW- and NE-trending faults (e.g. Nos. 6, 16, 19, 23, 24, see Fig. 2 and Table S1). The wide rift-like grabens are older than the narrow linear faults.

Group 3 includes 25 heavily degraded volcanoes that have been significantly modified by impact cratering, channeling, and tectonic deformation with only some edifices preserved and recognizable (Fig. 2c). These volcanoes are characterized by domes cut by wide faults with multi-orientations. The faults cut through the volcanic centers or flanks and occupy one-third to one-half of the constructs. There are four heavily degraded volcanoes along NNE-trending faults (e.g. Nos. 8, 9, 13) shown in Fig. 2c and Table S1. These poorlypreserved constructs are 50 to 80 km wide and between 1.5 and 2 km high. It is noted that the unusual fault cuts through the centers of all four volcanoes, which probably controlled the volcanism. This tectono-volcanism is likely a fissure-central eruption along the fault or rift. This kind of rift-volcano assemblage is common in the Thaumasia area and was interpreted as formed by widespread prerift and synrift volcanic activity (Grott, et al., 2007). It suggests that rifting and volcanism were coeval in many places. Some of the Group 3 mountain features are similar to terrestrial continental rift, e.g., at the shield volcano Menengai in the central Kenya rift, and Venus Beta Regio, where the huge Devana Chasma cuts through the centers of Rhea Mons volcano, although there is a large scale difference.



Fig. 1. Location map showing the distribution of old small shields and remnant volcanic constructs (all are numbered and shown in Table 1S). These volcanic edifices are divided into three groups based on their degree of modification, degradation and similarities with shield volcanoes. Base map is MOLA shaded relief. Most of these old volcanoes are situated close to Tharsis and circum-Hellas volcanic provinces, indicated by dot-dashed lines.

Table 1

Crater counting results for ancient volcanoes with locations shown in Fig. 1. The N(1) values that are given are calculated for the best-fit Neukum and Hartmann isochrons to the data. The highland volcanoes we examined are mostly Early to Middle Noachian (see also Fig. 4 and Table S1).

1 27 0.025 4.06 EN Velene by both and Tanka, 1990 2 29 0.025 3.98 EN velene by both and Tanka, 1990 3 16 0.0237 3.93 MN Why both cr. 12, 2014, 2019 4 20 0.0018 3.92 MN Velene by both and Tanka, 1990 5 40 0.0072 4.09 EN Velene by both and Tanka, 1990 6 9 0.0038 3.84 MN Velene by both and Tanka, 1990, Cort et al., 2007 7 20 0.0037 3.94 MN Velene by both cr. 12, 2013, 2016 6 9 0.0037 3.94 MN Velene by Sort and Tanka, 1981, Cort et al., 2007 7 20 0.0037 3.94 MN Velene by both cr. 12, 2013, 2016 11 8 0.048 4.06 EN MN Velene by both cr. 12, 2013, 2016 12 20 0.015 3.90 NN Velene by both cr. 12, 2013, 2016 13 10 0.046 4.08 </th <th>No. of volcano</th> <th>Number of craters</th> <th>N(1) Neukum best fit</th> <th>Neukun age (Ga)</th> <th>Neukum period*</th> <th>Note</th>	No. of volcano	Number of craters	N(1) Neukum best fit	Neukun age (Ga)	Neukum period*	Note
Net of John et al. 2014. 2016) 3 16 0.0237 3.93 NN Vectors by Norm and Tracka, 1990 4 20 0.0218 3.92 NN Welcom by Norm and Tracka, 1990 5 40 0.0672 4.90 NN Welcom by Norm and Tracka, 1990 5 40 0.0672 4.90 NN Welcom by Sort et al. 2004, 2001b 6 39 0.013 3.83 NN Volcan by Sort et al. 2001, 2001b 7 20 0.0297 34 NN Volcan by Sort et al. 2001, 2001b 7 20 0.0297 34 NN Volcan by Sort et al. 2001, 2001b 10 12 0.0548 4.06 EN Wolcan by Sort and Tracka, 1981, 1981b; Cort et al. 2007 11 8 0.048 4.06 EN Wolcan by Sort and Tracka, 1981, 1981b; Cort et al. 2007 12 20 0.0558 3.90 NN Volcan by Bohm and Tracka, 1981, 1981b; Cort et al. 2001, 2001b 13 11 0.0464 4.00 EN Wolcan by Bohm and Tracka, 1985,	1	27	0.0525	4.05	EN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
3 16 0.0237 3.03 MN Weil by by class of al. 2001a, 2001b 4 20 0.0218 3.02 MN Weilen by Dohn all Tankai, 1999 5 40 0.0672 40 N Veicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1999 5 40 0.0672 3.94 NM Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1990 7 20 0.0238 3.94 MN Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1990 7 20 0.0248 4.06 PN Weicam by Sort all Tankai, 1980, Cort et al. 2007 9 10 0.0247 3.94 MN Weicam by Sort all Tankai, 1981, DSR: Cort et al. 2007 11 0.0248 4.06 PN Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1991, DSR: Cort et al. 2007 12 0.0548 4.06 PN Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1991, DSR: Cort et al. 2007 13 12 0.0548 4.06 PN Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1991, DSR: Cort et al. 2001, 2001b 14 11 0.0105 3.90 NN Weicam by Dohn all Tankai, 1990, 2001 14 11	2	29	0.0325	3.98	EN	Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
4 20 0.0218 3.92 MN Process by Defining, 07 action (199) 5 40 0.0672 4.09 PN Volcane by Defining, 07 action (199) 6 30 0.013 3.83 LN Volcane by Defining, 07 action (199) 6 30 0.02247 3.94 MN Volcane by Cost et al., 2007. 7 20 0.0247 3.94 MN Volcane by Cost at Tanaka, 1981, 1981; cost et al., 2007. 8 10 0.0247 3.94 MN Volcane by Cost at Tanaka, 1981, 1981; cost et al., 2007. 10 12 0.0548 4.06 PN No poline (1, 2001) 11 3 0.0464 1.03 PN Volcane by Cost at Tanaka, 1981; Cost et al., 2007. 12 0.0165 3.90 PN Volcane by Dohm at Tanaka, 1981; Cost et al., 2007. 14 11 0.0467 4.03 PN Wolcane by Dohm at Tanaka, 1981; PN PDM 15 11 0.0467 A.90 PN Wolcane by Dohm at Tanaka, 1981; PN PDM 16 3	3	16	0.0237	3.93	MN	HNr by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b Volcano by Scott and Tanaka, 1981b.
5 40 0.0572 4.09 FN Product of the star and star	4	20	0.0218	3.92	MN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
6 30 0.0358 3.94 NM Velocine by constrained manual system of manuals, 1990 (met et al., 2007) 7 20 0.0258 3.94 NM Velocine by constrained manuals, 1990; Cont et al., 2007 9 10 0.0548 4.06 NN Velocine by Scott and Fanala, 1991; Cont et al., 2007 11 2 0.0548 4.06 NN Velocine by Scott and Fanala, 1991; Cont et al., 2007 12 2.0 0.005 3.90 NN Velocine by Scott and Fanala, 1992 13 12 0.0195 3.90 NN Velocine by Scott and Fanala, 1999 14 1 0.0466 4.03 EN Velocine by Iobin and Tanala, 1999 15 11 0.0466 4.03 EN Velocine by Iobin and Tanala, 1990 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Velocine by Iobin and Tanala, 1990 17 2.4 0.0275 3.92 MR by Dobin et al., 2011a, 2011b NK by Dobin et al., 2011a, 2011b 18 2.1 0.0171 3.80 NN Velocine by Iobin and T	5	40	0.0672	4.09	EN	C ₂ by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
2 20 20.0258 1.94 MN Valence by Section and Tanaka, 1989. Contr. et al., 2007 8 10 0.0247 3.94 MN Valence by Section and Tanaka, 1981. Contr. et al., 2007 9 10 0.0548 4.06 FN Nb by Dohm et al., 2001. 2011. 11 8 0.048 4.06 FN Nb by Dohm et al., 2001. 2011. 12 0.048 4.04 FN Nb by Dohm et al., 2001. 2011. 13 12 0.016 3.80 LN Witemo by Dohm at make. 1999. 14 11 0.0195 3.90 NN Valence by Dohm at make. 1999. 15 1 0.0446 4.03 EN Walence by Dohm at make. 1999. 16 4.0 0.0275 3.90 NN Walence by Dohm at make. 1999. 17 2.4 0.0071 3.88 NN Walence by Dohm at make. 1999. 18 2.1 0.0171 3.88 NN Walence by Dohm at make. 1999. 19 12 0.0225 3.92 NN	6	30	0.013	3 83	IN	ND Dy Donm et al., 2001a, 2001b Volcano by Crott et al., 2007
Nic by Dohm et al., 2014, 2015 Nic by Dohm et al., 2017, 2015 Nic by Dohm et al., 2017, 2015 10 1 0.0549 4.06 PN Volcan by Scort and Tanka, 1981, 1981b, Cont et al., 2007 11 1 0.0549 4.06 PN Volcan by Scort and Tanka, 1990 12 20 0.0105 3.80 LN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1990 12 20 0.0105 3.80 LN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1990 13 12 0.0195 3.90 MN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1990, Scort and Tanka 1980 14 11 0.0466 4.03 PN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1999, Scort and Tanka 1980 15 11 0.0466 4.03 PN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1999, Scort and Tanka 1980 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1999, Scort and Tanka 1980 17 24 0.0275 3.92 MN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1999 18 21 0.0275 3.92 MN Volcan by Dohm and Tanka, 1990 19	8 7	20	0.0258	3.94	MN	Volcano by Grott et al., 2007 Volcano by Scott and Tanaka, 1980; Grott et al., 2007
8 10 0.0247 3.34 MN Volcano by Scott and Tanaka, 1981, a 181b, Costt et al., 2007 11 12 0.0548 4.05 EN No by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 11 12 0.0105 3.80 EN No by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 13 12 0.0105 3.80 EN No by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 14 11 0.0195 3.80 NN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999, Scott and Tanaka, 1999 15 11 0.0466 A.033 EN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999, Scott and Tanaka, 1990, NC by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990, NC by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 17 2.4 0.0657 4.09 NC by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b 18 2.1 0.0171 3.88 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990 19 12 0.0275 3.92 MC by Dohm et al., 2001, 2001b No by Dohm et al., 2001a						Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
9 10 0.0548 4.06 EN Volcano by Social Taska, 1991, 3191, 2001 11 4 0.0248 4.06 EN Nb by Lohm et al. 2001, 2001 113 12 0.0105 3.80 LN Wolcano by Social Taska, 1999 13 12 0.0105 3.80 LN Wolcano by Social Taska, 1999 14 11 0.0146 4.03 EN Volcano by Dohn and Taska, 1999 15 11 0.0446 4.03 EN Volcano by Dohn and Taska, 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Volcano by Dohn and Taska, 1999 17 2.4 0.0657 4.09 Nc by Dohn and Taska, 1990 18 2.1 0.0255 3.92 MN Volcano by Dohn and Taska, 1990 18 0.4055 4.12 EN C, by Dohn et al. 2001, 2001b 24 0.0265 4.12 EN C, by Dohn et al. 2001, 2001b 25 0.3 0.0275 3.92 Nc by Dohn et al. 2001, 2001b 26 9	8	10	0.0247	3.94	MN	Volcano by Scott and Tanaka, 1981a, 1981b; Grott et al., 2007
ID L2 0.048 4.46 EN Nb by John et al., 2001a, 2001b 12 20 0.046 4.36 EN Nb by John et al., 2001a, 2001b 13 20 0.0105 3.80 LN Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 14 11 0.0196 3.80 LN Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 14 11 0.0196 3.80 NR Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 15 11 0.0446 4.03 EN Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 16 45 0.0275 3.95 NR Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 17 24 0.0667 4.09 We by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 18 21 0.0171 3.88 NV Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990 18 21 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990 21 12 0.0225 3.92 MV Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1990 22 13 0.0224 3.92 NC <	9	10	0.0548	4.06	EN	Volcano by Scott and Tanaka, 1981a, 1981b; Grott et al., 2007
11 3 0.018 4.04 EN Not by Doma of Lanka. 1999 12 20 0.0193 3.0 LN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 14 11 0.0196 3.0 MN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 15 11 0.0446 4.03 EN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.5 MN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Witch by Doma of Lanka. 1999 21 11 0.0421 4.12 EN c, by Doma of Lanka. 1999 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Nylona of Lanka. 1999 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN mylona of Lanka. 1999 24 12 0.0354 3.44 MN Vitch by Dohm at L. 2001. 2001b	10	12	0.0549	4.06	EN	Nb by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
12 20 0.0103 3.80 LN Volcane by Dahm and Tanka, 1999 14 11 0.0195 3.90 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanka, 1999 15 11 0.0466 4.03 EN Volcane by Dahm and Tanka, 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Wolcane by Dahm and Tanka, 1999 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Volcane by Dahm and Tanka, 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 19 12 0.0275 3.92 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 19 12 0.0171 3.88 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 10 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 20 8 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Volcane by Dahm and Tanaka, 1999 23 13 0.0254 3.94 MN Volcane by Dahm an	11	8	0.048	4.04	EN	ND by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
13 12 0.0105 2.80 LN Note the yold mutual fails, 1999 14 11 0.0196 3.90 MN Volame by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 15 11 0.0466 4.03 EN Wolame by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Wolame by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Wolame by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Wolamo by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Wolamo by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Wolamo by Dothm and Tauka, 1999 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN C, by Dothm and Tauka, 1990 21 1 0.0421 4.02 EN Wolamo by Dothm and Tauka, 1990 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Hyb by Dothm and Tauka, 1991 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Pauka by Moda	12	20	0.0105	3.80	LN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
14 11 0.0196 3.90 MN Viceau by John and Laika, 198: Scott and Tanaka, 1980 15 11 0.0446 4.03 N Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1995 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 17 24 0.0657 3.95 MN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 18 21 0.0657 4.09 Nice by John and Tanaka, 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 20 8 0.0823 4.12 EN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 21 0.43 1.04 EN Nice by John and Tanaka, 1999 22 13 0.0399 4.01 EN Nice by John and Tanaka, 1999 24 14 0.0399 4.01 EN Nice by John and Tanaka, 1999 25 33 0.0254 3.44 MN Viceau by John and Tanaka, 1999 </td <td>13</td> <td>12</td> <td>0.0105</td> <td>3.80</td> <td>LIN</td> <td>Volcano by Donm and Tanaka, 1999</td>	13	12	0.0105	3.80	LIN	Volcano by Donm and Tanaka, 1999
In D. 50 NM Net by Domain and Calab. 1990 15 11 0.0446 4.03 EN Net by Domain and Calab. 1990 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Net by Dohm and Tanka. 1990 17 24 0.0687 4.09 EN Net by Dohm and Tanka. 1990 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanka. 1990 19 12 0.0255 3.92 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanka. 1990 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c. 190 Dohm et al. 2001a. 2001b 21 11 0.0421 EN Volcano by Dohm and Tanka. 1990 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Net by Dohm et al. 2001a. 2001b 23 14 0.0399 4.01 EN prib by Moxin. 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN prib by Moxin. 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN prib by Moxin. 1978 24 12 0.0399	14	11	0.0106	2.00	MN	Nic by Dollin et al., 2001a, 2001b Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1000: Scott and Tanaka 1090
15 11 0.0446 4.03 EN Variant of public and Tanuka 1999 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Vice to phane at 2.001a, 2001 17 24 0.0657 4.09 Wice to phane at 2.001a, 2001 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Wice to phane at 2.001a, 2001 19 12 0.0255 3.92 MN Wice to phane at 2.001a, 2001 19 12 0.0255 3.92 MN Vice to phane at 2.001a, 2001 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c.by Dohm at Tanuka, 1999 21 10 0.0421 EN c.by Dohm at Tanuka, 1999 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Mice ty Dohm at 2.001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Mice ty Dohm at 2.001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0393 4.01 EN Mice ty Dohm at 2.001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0393 4.01 EN p.thy Mice ty Dohm at 2.001a, 2001b 25 33 0.0254 3.94 MN ty Dohm at 2.001a, 2001b <td>14</td> <td>11</td> <td>0.0190</td> <td>5.50</td> <td>IVIIN</td> <td>Nfc by Dohm et al. 2001a, 2001b</td>	14	11	0.0190	5.50	IVIIN	Nfc by Dohm et al. 2001a, 2001b
16 16 0.03 16 Ne by Doins rail, 2001a, 2001a 16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Ne by Doins rail, 2001a, 2001a 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Ne by Doins rail, 2001a, 2001a 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN We by Doins rail, 2001a 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN We by Doins rail, 2001a 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c, by Doins rail, 2001b 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Witz by Doins rail, 2001a 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Witz by Doins rail, 2001a 23 14 0.0399 4.01 EN by Doins rail, 2001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN prob Morell, 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN prob Morell, 1978 25 33 0.0254 3.94 MN Witcan by Doins and Tanka, 1999 26 9 0.0714 3.73 EN prob Morell, 1978 28	15	11	0.0446	4.03	FN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
16 45 0.0275 3.95 MN Valency by Dohm and Tanala, 1999 17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Valency by Dohm and Tanala, 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Valency by Dohm and Tanala, 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Valency by Dohm and Tanala, 1999 20 8 0.0325 4.12 EN c, by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 11 0.0425 4.12 EN c, by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0431 4.01 EN My McGill, 1978 24 12 0.0391 4.01 EN prob by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Valency by McGill, 1978 26 9 0.0077 4.06 EN pi by McGill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pi by McGill, 1978 28 10 0.0257 4.06 EN pi by McGill, 1978 29	15	11	0.0440	4.05	LIN	Nfc by Dohm et al. 2001a, 2001b
13 135 135 135 136 147 14 120 135 135 136 147 14 120 135 135 136 147 142 136 136 141 136 137 136 137	16	45	0.0275	3 95	MN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka 1999
17 24 0.0657 4.09 EN Victor by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Valcoup by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Valcoup by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Valcoup by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c, bit boits and Tanaka, 1999 21 1 0.0421 EN Valcoup by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 22 15 1.3 4.19 EN Ng by Doits et al., 2012, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Ng by Doits et al., 2012, 2001b 24 12 0.0398 3.94 MN Valcanb by Doits and Tanaka, 1999 25 3 0.0254 3.94 MN ptb Mxcdll, 1978 28 10 0.0245 3.94 MN ptb Hy Mxcdll, 1979 28 10 0.0245 3.94 MN ptb Hy Mxcdl, 1979	10	15	0.0275	5.55	10110	Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
Image: Section of the sectio	17	24	0.0657	4.09	EN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
18 21 0.0171 3.88 MN Yelcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 HNC by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Wickup Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 Nick by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN C. by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Valcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Nich by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Nich by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 24 12 0.0391 4.01 EN Mich by Mich and Tanaka, 1999 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Valcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.0074 3.73 EN Mich by Howard, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN per by Miccill, 1978 28 10 0.04263 3.94 MN pic by Howard, 1979 29 0.05677 4.06 EN <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b</td></td<>						Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
INC by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcano by Dohm at al., 2001a, 2001b 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c, by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Nubra ob pohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Npth by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Npth by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN print by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.0254 3.34 MN Volcano by Dohm at al., 2019 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN print by McGill, 1978 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pi by Howard, 1979 29 11 0.00402 3.60 L11 pi by Howard, 1979 21 0.0267 4.06 EN pi by Howard, 1979 31 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pi by	18	21	0.0171	3.88	MN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
19 12 0.0225 3.92 MN Volcan'o by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 Nrb by Dohm and J. 2001b 20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN volcan'o by Dohm and J. 2001b 21 11 0.0421 EN volcan'o by Dohm and J. 2001b 21 13 0.0421 EN Volcan'o by Dohm and J. 2001b 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Npli by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN hpli by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN hpli by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcan'o by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcr by McGill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN phb Woward, 1979 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pi by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0267 4.06 EN pi by Howard, 1979 31						HNfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
Net by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 13 0.0421 4.02 EN Nc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Mplb by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0399 4.01 EN mplb by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pcm by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.00714 3.73 EH pcu by McGill, 1978 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcu by McGill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0367 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.00452 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00462 3.60 EN pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.08 EN pls by Howard, 19	19	12	0.0225	3.92	MN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
20 8 0.0825 4.12 EN c, by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 21 11 0.0421 4.02 EN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Npl by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN Mpl by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pcm by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcu by McGill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pl by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pl by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pl by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.0402 3.60 H pl by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pl by Howard, 1979 34 6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b</td>						Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
11 0.0421 4.02 EN Volcane by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Nplib by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN mby McCill, 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pm by McCill, 1978 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pu by McCill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pm by McCill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pb by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pl by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pl by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0778 4.01 EN pl by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pl by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pl by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN	20	8	0.0825	4.12	EN	c ₂ by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
Product Number of Provided State 22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Number of Provided State 23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN ht by McGill, 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN provided State 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Ochm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH provided State 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN provided State 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 31 12 0.02452 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0779 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979	21	11	0.0421	4.02	EN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
22 15 0.13 4.19 EN Nplh by Dohm et al., 2001b 23 144 0.0391 4.01 EN hry McGill, 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pern by McGill, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pern by McGill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pis by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pis by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.04042 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0779 4.01 EN pis by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.04623						Nfc by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
23 14 0.0391 4.01 EN hf by McCill, 1978 24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pcm by McCill, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcu by McCill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pcu by McCill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.06677 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pls by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 37 14 0.0327 <	22	15	0.13	4.19	EN	Nplh by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b
24 12 0.0399 4.01 EN pcm by McGil, 1978 25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcm by McGil, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pcm by McGil, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pis by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pis by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN pis by Howard, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 <td< td=""><td>23</td><td>14</td><td>0.0391</td><td>4.01</td><td>EN</td><td>hf by McGill, 1978</td></td<>	23	14	0.0391	4.01	EN	hf by McGill, 1978
25 33 0.0258 3.94 MN Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999 26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcu by McCill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pch by Moccill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.06677 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0661 4.13 EN pls by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN pls by Howard, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563	24	12	0.0399	4.01	EN	pcm by McGill, 1978
26 9 0.00714 3.73 EH pcm by McGill, 1978 27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pcm by McGill, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN plc by Masursky et al, 1978 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pls by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hby Moward, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 11 0.0527 4.05 EN pls by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 </td <td>25</td> <td>33</td> <td>0.0258</td> <td>3.94</td> <td>MN</td> <td>Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999</td>	25	33	0.0258	3.94	MN	Volcano by Dohm and Tanaka, 1999
27 7 0.0393 4.01 EN pcm by McGil, 1978 28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pls by Howard, 1979 29 23 0.0567 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN plc by Masursky et al., 1978 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pls by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pls by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.06677 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.06631 4.13 EN pls by Howard, 1979 35 2.5 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 1.4 0.0327 3.98 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 2.1 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 40 1.4 0.0553 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.067	26	9	0.00714	3.73	EH	pcu by McGill, 1978
28 10 0.0254 3.94 MN pis by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0567 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pis by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0381 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 2.5 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 2.1 0.0347 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pis by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106	27	7	0.0393	4.01	EN	pcm by McGill, 1978
29 23 0.056/ 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 30 12 0.0245 3.94 MN pic by Howard, 1979 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pis by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 40 14 0.0327 4.05 EN pis by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.	28	10	0.0254	3.94	MN	pls by Howard, 1979
30 12 0.0245 3.54 MN pic by Makursky et al., 1978 31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pis by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.06677 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN pis by Howard, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pis by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga (Werner, 2009) 44 48 0.0106 <t< td=""><td>29</td><td>23</td><td>0.0567</td><td>4.06</td><td>EN</td><td>pls by Howard, 1979</td></t<>	29	23	0.0567	4.06	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
31 19 0.00402 3.60 LH pis by Howard, 1979 32 10 0.0789 4.11 EN pis by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pis by Howard, 1979 39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pis by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0663 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027	30	12	0.0245	3.94	IMIN	pic by Masursky et al., 1978
12 10 0.00793 4.11 EN p is by Howard, 1979 33 7 0.0677 4.09 EN p is by Howard, 1979 34 6 0.0861 4.13 EN p is by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN p is by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN h by Howard, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN p is by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN p is by Howard, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN p is by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN p is by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN p is by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95	31	19	0.00402	3.00	LH	pls by Howard, 1979
34 6 0.08671 4.13 EN pis by Howard, 1979 35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pis by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pis by Howard, 1979 39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pis by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pis by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ca, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c, by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0315 3.97 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0355 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4	32	7	0.0677	4.09	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
35 25 0.0339 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 36 8 0.0623 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pls c, 1 by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c, 2 by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.00 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037	34	6	0.0861	4.03	FN	pls by Howard, 1979
36 8 0.0223 4.08 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 37 14 0.0327 3.98 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pls by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c_b by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pt by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pt by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN ct, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032	35	25	0.0339	3 99	FN	pls by Howard, 1979
37 14 0.0377 3.98 EN pls by Howard, 1979 38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pls by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c, by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0256 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04	36	8	0.0623	4.08	EN	hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979
38 21 0.0347 3.99 EN pls by Howard, 1979 39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pls, c ₁ by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c ₂ by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pt beHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c ₁ , pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032	37	14	0.0327	3.98	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
39 9 0.0949 4.14 EN hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979 40 14 0.0527 4.05 EN pls, c ₁ by Howard, 1979 41 8 0.0563 4.06 EN pls by Howard, 1979 42 13 0.067 4.09 EN pls by Howard, 1979 43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN pt by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pt by DeHon, 1977 48 0.037 4.00 EN pt by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN ht by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mt by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 52 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 71 15 0.029 <t< td=""><td>38</td><td>21</td><td>0.0347</td><td>3.99</td><td>EN</td><td>pls by Howard, 1979</td></t<>	38	21	0.0347	3.99	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
40140.05274.05ENpls c1 by Howard, 19794180.05634.06ENpls by Howard, 197942130.0674.09ENpls by Howard, 197943110.02343.93MNm by Howard, 197944480.01063.80LN3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009)4780.0273.95MNc2 by DeHon, 197748190.04484.03ENpr by DeHon, 19774980.05654.06ENpr by DeHon, 197750110.0374.00ENhc by DeHon, 197751190.03153.97ENmr by DeHon, 19775250.04974.04ENc1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 19785650.0323.98ENvolcano by Chatan and Head, 200270170.1634.22ENc1 by Moore, 198071150.0293.96MNc1, hc by Moore, 198072120.03784.00ENc1, hc by Moore, 198073160.04444.03ENc1, hc by Moore, 198074170.03684.00ENc2, by Saunders, 197975250.01383.84LNps by McGill, 1978	39	9	0.0949	4.14	EN	hc by Mutch and Morris, 1979
4180.05634.06ENpls by Howard, 197942130.0674.09ENpls by Howard, 197943110.02343.93MNm by Howard, 197944480.01063.80LN3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009)4780.0273.95MNc_2 by DeHon, 197748190.04484.03ENpr by DeHon, 19774980.05654.06ENpr by DeHon, 197750110.0374.00ENhc by DeHon, 197751190.03153.97ENmr by DeHon, 19775250.04974.04ENc_1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 19785650.0323.98ENvolcano by Ghatan and Head, 200270170.1634.22ENc_1 by Moore, 198071150.0293.96MNc_1, hc by Moore, 198072120.03784.00ENc_1, hc by Moore, 198073160.04444.03ENc_1, hc by Moore, 198074170.03684.00ENc_2 by Saunders, 197975250.01383.84LNps by McGill, 1978	40	14	0.0527	4.05	EN	pls, c ₁ by Howard, 1979
42130.0674.09ENpls by Howard, 197943110.02343.93MNm by Howard, 197944480.01063.80LN3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009)4780.0273.95MNc_2 by DeHon, 197748190.04484.03ENpr by DeHon, 19774980.05654.06ENpr by DeHon, 197750110.0374.00ENhc by DeHon, 197751190.03153.97ENmr by DeHon, 19775250.04974.04ENc_1 pics by Condit and Soderblom, 19785650.0323.98ENvolcano by Chattan and Head, 200270170.1634.22ENc_1 by Moore, 198071150.0293.96MNc_1, hc by Moore, 198072120.03784.00ENc_1, hc by Moore, 198073160.04444.03ENc_1, hc by Moore, 198074170.03684.00ENc_2 by Sauders, 197975250.01383.84LNps by McGill, 1978	41	8	0.0563	4.06	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
43 11 0.0234 3.93 MN m by Howard, 1979 44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c ₂ by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c ₁ pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c ₁ by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c ₁ hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c ₁ hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c ₁ hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.	42	13	0.067	4.09	EN	pls by Howard, 1979
44 48 0.0106 3.80 LN 3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009) 47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c2 by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368	43	11	0.0234	3.93	MN	m by Howard, 1979
47 8 0.027 3.95 MN c ₂ by DeHon, 1977 48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c ₁ , pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c ₁ by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c ₁ , hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c ₁ , hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c ₁ , hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c ₁ hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c ₂ by Saunders, 1979 75 25	44	48	0.0106	3.80	LN	3.81 Ga, (Werner, 2009)
48 19 0.0448 4.03 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	47	8	0.027	3.95	MN	c ₂ by DeHon, 1977
49 8 0.0565 4.06 EN pr by DeHon, 1977 50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	48	19	0.0448	4.03	EN	pr by DeHon, 1977
50 11 0.037 4.00 EN hc by DeHon, 1977 51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Chatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Sauders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	49	8	0.0565	4.06	EN	pr by DeHon, 1977
51 19 0.0315 3.97 EN mr by DeHon, 1977 52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN c1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 1978 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Chatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c2 by Sauders, 1979 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Sauders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	50	11	0.037	4.00	EN	hc by DeHon, 1977
52 5 0.0497 4.04 EN C1, pics by Condit and Soderblom, 19/8 56 5 0.032 3.98 EN volcano by Ghatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	51	19	0.0315	3.97	EN	IIII Dy DeHon, 1977
30 5 0.032 3.98 EN Volcano by Chatan and Head, 2002 70 17 0.163 4.22 EN c1 by Moore, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	52 56	Э Е	0.0497	4.04	EN	c ₁ , pics by Condit and Soderbiom, 1978
70 17 0.105 4.22 EN C1 by Mode, 1980 71 15 0.029 3.96 MN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	50 70	ט 17	0.052	5.90 1 77	EN	c, by Moore 1980
72 12 0.0378 4.00 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by Moore, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	70	17	0.105	3.96	MN	c. hc hv Moore 1980
73 16 0.0444 4.03 EN c1, hc by More, 1980 74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Saunders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	72	17	0.025	4.00	FN	c, hc by Moore 1980
74 17 0.0368 4.00 EN c2 by Sauders, 1979 75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	73	16	0.0444	4.03	EN	c ₁ , hc by Moore, 1980
75 25 0.0138 3.84 LN ps by McGill, 1978	74	17	0.0368	4.00	EN	c ₂ by Saunders, 1979
	75	25	0.0138	3.84	LN	ps by McGill, 1978

* EN-Early Noachian; MN-Middle Noachian; LN-Late Noachian; EH-Early Hesperian; MH-Middle Hesperian; LH-Late Hesperian.

3. Ages of volcanoes on southern highlands

3.1. Dating methods

Crater size-frequency distributions (CSFDs) for seventy five volcanoes of the Thaumasia region and its surroundings were obtained to derive a general sequence of events and their relation to general martian history. We produced mosaics covering the Icaria Fossae-Thaumasia Highlands region of Mars using the THEMIS daytime-IR data at 100 m/ pixel spatial resolution as a standard base. Because of the controversy regarding CSFDs for dating martian surfaces with regard to estimating "absolute" model ages and the use of statistics based on small craters (Hartmann, 2005), our approach in this study was to use craters \geq 2 km diameter to avoid the addition of most secondary craters that would yield erroneously old ages and to use the results primarily for age comparisons among the putative volcanic features in this region and other volcanoes on Mars (i.e., even though the "absolute" model ages might not be accurate), the relative ages can be used for such comparisons with earlier studies, e.g., Williams et al. (2009) and Malin et al. (2006) reported impact craters discovered in MOC data produced between 1999 and 2006, which suggests that the cratering rate for Mars extrapolated from the Moon is essentially correct (Hartmann, 2005). Nevertheless, restricting our CSFDs to large craters and using ages for relative comparisons (e.g., to the Tharsis shields or the volcanoes in the circum-Hellas Volcanic Province) should produce more conservative results.

Crater measurements were partitioned into bins of increasing crater diameter based on standard practices. The binned data were used to produce cumulative crater size-frequency distribution plots with corresponding statistical errors (Hartmann, 1966; Neukum, 1983; Neukum and Hiller, 1981; Neukum and Wise, 1976), using the CRATERSTATS software package developed by the Free University, Berlin (Michael and Neukum, 2008). In this technique, the cumulative size-frequency distributions were analyzed to determine crater densities at specific reference diameters and to determine cratering model ages. Cumulative crater densities for 1-, 2-, 5-, 10-, and 16km diameter craters were used to assess relative ages for martian geologic units and to place units into the martian chronologic system using key units as reference. In general, the lower the crater density (the fewer the craters that formed or have been preserved on the surface) is, the younger the age is. A cratering model age (in Ga) is calculated from the cumulative crater density at a reference crater diameter of 1 km using an established cratering chronology model for Mars (Neukum, 1983). The martian model is typically extrapolated from the lunar model (in which crater frequencies are correlated with radiometric ages from Apollo samples) and adjusted for the different orbital mechanics, crater scaling, and impact flux for Mars relative to the Moon. The transfer of the lunar cratering chronology model to Mars may introduce a systematic error of up to a factor of 2. This means that the typical uncertainty in cratering model ages could vary by a factor of 2 for ages <3.5 Ga (in the constant flux range), whereas the uncertainty is about \pm 100 Ma for ages > 3.5 Ga (Ivanov, 2001). Extensive testing and application of these techniques, however, have shown that the applied martian cratering chronology model results in ages for basin formation and volcanic surfaces that are in good agreement with martian meteorite crystallization ages with respect to "peak" activity periods (Neukum et al., 2007), which suggests that the chronology model is correct within an uncertainty of less than 20%. We note that all of the surfaces in the Thaumasia region have been highly modified by degradational processes. In most cases, CSFDs show "kinks" in the cumulative distributions that are considered to represent resurfacing events, in which the smaller craters are



Fig. 2. Selected three group volcanoes and their dating results. (a), (b) and (c) are THEMIS day time IR images with a spatial resolution of 100 m/pixel. (a) Example for a volcano of Group 1, best preserved early Noachian shield volcanoes on the southern cratered highlands. The shield is located to the north of Terra Sirenum, and centered at 215.22E, 34.36S (No. 1 in Fig. 1 and Table 1S). (b) Example for a volcano of Group 2, moderately modified Early Noachian shields. The shield is located to the NE of Terra Sirenum, and centered at 243.36E, 39.53S (No. 23 in Fig. 1 and Table 1S); (c) Example for a volcano of Group 3, one of the heavily degraded Early Noachian shields. The shield is located to the SE of Claritas Fossae, and centered at 271.33E, 37.57S (No. 8 in Fig. 1 and Table 1S); (d) shows profiles of the three volcanic edifices; (e), (f) and (g) are the results of crater size-frequency distribution measurements for the volcanoes of (a), (b) and (c), respectively.



Fig. 3. Examples of stratigraphic boundaries between dissected volcanoes and other highland units. Portions of volcano 1(a) and 2(b) show ancient volcano blanks are covered by Noachian subdued cratered units (Npl, forms highland plains characterized by subdued and partly buried older crater rims; cut in places by grabens; rare flow fronts; locally grades into younger (Hesperian) and older (Hesperian–Noachian) ridged plains materials. It is interpreted as thin layer of lava flows and fluvial and aeolian deposits that partly bury underlying rocks. Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b). These two volcanoes were mapped as Noachian older flow and construct material (Nfc) by Dohm et al. (2001a, 2001b). The dashed lines are the boundaries between Noachian units, as mapped at the 1:15 million scale by Dohm et al., 2001a, 2001b; Scott and Tanaka (1986) or Greeley and Guest (1987).

obliterated, while the larger craters are still visible and can be counted. Resurfacing events can include mantling by windblown, volcanic, or other deposits, or surface degradation processes, such as mass wasting or periglacial/permafrost activity, which could differentially remove smaller craters. Because of the uncertainty in the process(es) leading to these "kinks," and because the focus of this study is on the major formative, putative volcanic events, this aspect of the CSFDs is not considered.

3.2. Dating results

THEMIS daytime-IR mosaics at 100 m/pixel spatial resolution (Edwards et al., 2011) were used as a consistent image base to perform crater counts on the proposed volcanic edifices, complemented with CTX images at 6 m/pixel (Malin et al., 2007) (Table 1 and Table S1; Fig. 4). The crater counting method follows standard practices. The estimates for the Group 1 volcanoes yield a mean cratering model age of ~4.0 Ga, which falls into the Early Noachian Epoch based on Ivanov (2001) and Hartmann and Neukum (2001). These dating results are generally consist with stratigraphic correlations between dissected volcanoes and other highland units (Fig. 3).

The ages obtained using crater counts for moderately modified (Group 2) and heavily modified (Group 3) domes are mostly between 3.9 Ga and 4.1 Ga (Figs. 2, 4, Table 1 and Table S1). The results demonstrate that for all three groups relatively small domes are very close in age at 4.0 Ga. They formed in late Early Noachian and are the oldest martian volcanoes identified on Mars. Because these ages were estimated from crater counts and the craters are overlapped by erosion features, it is suggested that the ages could also indicate the time of latest surface water flow modification, and that fluid water and snows that covered volcanoes melted before 3.5 Ga in this area between 40°S to 10°S. Old small volcanoes close to Hellas basin (60°S to 80°S) also show similar features (Table S1).

4. Discussion

4.1. Features of Noachian volcanism on Mars

Volcanoes formed in the Noachian as dated by this study have very different surface morphologies and expression than those produced in the Hesperian (Fassett and Head, 2007; Greeley and Spudis, 1981; Werner, 2009). Noachian volcanic materials occur as shields and lava plains and have mostly been modified by impact craters and channels, while Hesperian volcanism is primarily flood lavas and a number of low shields (e.g. Syrtis Major) modified by recent aeolian mantles that fill depressions.

The study of volcanism in the southern highlands is inhibited because of the heavily cratered and degraded land surface. It is generally accepted that flood volcanism occurred, even though most ridged plains of the highlands have Hesperian ages <3.7 Ga (Williams et al., 2009). Noachian volcanic edifices are poorly studied with the exception of larger structures around the Hellas basin (Williams et al., 2008, 2009) and in the Tharsis rise (Werner, 2005, 2009). Our study reveals the presence of Noachian shield-like, putative volcanic structures with 50-100 km diameters and 2-3 km high in the Thaumasia Planum (Dohm and Tanaka, 1999; Xiao et al., 2009), Coracis Fossae, Claritas Fossae, Icaria Fossae, Aonia Terra, Terra Sirenum, Terra Cimmeria, and Sisyphi Montes (Fig. 1, Scott and Tanaka, 1986; Tanaka and Scott, 1987; Ghatan and Head, 2002). We suggest that these well-preserved putative shields (group 1) may represent the remaining examples of a larger population of volcanic edifices formed in early Noachian times, considering their old ages and preservation state in the southern highlands. The origins of the significantly modified shield-like edifices are probably also related to volcanism and/or magmatism. The relatively small volcanoes, along with fissure eruptions, would have generated lava flows and possibly pyroclastic deposits that cover this part of the martian surface.



Fig. 4. Histogram diagram showing the ages of ancient volcanoes in south highland of Mars, data from Table 1 and Table S1.

Interestingly, most of the 75 relatively small volcanoes can be grouped into two volcanic provinces: 43 near the Tharsis rise (Numbers 1–43, see Fig.1) and 17 close to circum-Hellas (Williams et al., 2009) volcanic province (Numbers 53–69, see Fig. 1). The remaining 15 volcanoes are also located in the southern heavily cratered highlands. They are distant from the above mentioned two large volcanic provinces and may represent independent localized volcanism (see Fig. 6).

4.2. Relation with Tharsis and circum-Hellas volcanic provinces

The Tharsis rise is the largest volcanic province on Mars; it is usually recognized as composed of several large volcanoes (e.g. Olympus Mons, Pavonis Mons, Arsia Mons, Ascreaus Mons, and Alba Mons) and includes small volcanoes at Syria Planum and elsewhere on the Tharsis rise (Baptista et al., 2008; Hauber et al., 2009). Its boundary is defined by the topographic rise and lava flows erupted from the large volcanoes (to the north of the dot-dashed yellow line in Fig. 1). The volcanic history of Tharsis has been proposed to have lasted from Hesperian to Late Amazonia (<3.8 Ga to less than 0.1 Ga, Werner, 2009; Hauber et al., 2009, 2011; Xiao and Wang, 2009). Here we suggest the existence of 43 small volcanic constructs located just to the southern margin of the Tharsis volcanic province (Fig. 1) that were formed mostly at ~4.0 Ga, to 3.9 Ga, and connect them timewise with the Tharsis large volcanoes. The space and time correlation implies that they could have been generated from the same super-mantle hot field, initiated with numerous small volcanoes covering most of this region and eventually evolving into a long-term volcanic eruption, shifting to fewer but larger volcanoes above the hottest or most massive magma source region. Combining the small volcanoes identified by this study with the large Tharsis volcanoes suggests that the primary Tharsis volcanic province is larger than its present occurrence, and that its activity could be traced to early Noachian time.

The newly identified 17 small volcanoes southwest of the Hellas basin are spatially close to the circum-Hellas Volcanic Province. There are six large volcanic features (Tyrrhena, Hadriaca, Amphitrites, Peneus, Malea, and Pityusa Paterae) located in this province, which formed after the Hellas impact between 3.8 and 3.6 Ga (Williams et al., 2009, 2010). These volcanoes, plus the surrounding putative volcanic ridged plains, are comparable in size to the Elysium volcanic province, and they may have been a dominant source of volcanism early in martian history (Williams et al., 2010). These 17 small volcanic edifices make the circum-Hellas Volcanic Province larger and imply a longer history of volcanism that ceased earlier than in the Tharsis volcanic province. Together with the Elysium volcanic province, there would have been three large volcanic provinces triggered by three super mantle plumes or magma source regions, respectively.

4.3. Implications for Martian thermal evolution history

Many researchers have proposed models to investigate the thermal evolution and crustal growth history of Mars based on geophysical modeling (Breuer and Spohn, 2003, 2006; Fraeman and Korenaga, 2010; Hauck and Phillips, 2002; Keller and Tackley, 2009; Li and Kiefer, 2007; McGovern et al., 2002, 2004; Nimmo and Stevenson, 2001; Schumacher and Breuer, 2007; Spohn, 1991; Wieczorek and Zuber, 2004) and on geochemical analysis of the martian meteorites (Norman, 1999, 2002). It is generally assumed that the bulk of the crust was in place around the end of the Noachian epoch at 4 Gyr b.p. (Nimmo and Tanaka, 2005; Xiao et al., 2008). The volumetrically largest, late stage contribution to the martian crust is marked by the emplacement of the Tharsis rise, which was essentially complete at the end of the Noachian period (Phillips et al., 2002) and changed little thereafter (Banerdt and Golombek, 2000).

The ages of volcanism are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 4. Previous crater counts (Plescia, 2004; Werner, 2005, 2009; Williams et al., 2009;

Robbins et al., 2011) have reported several old volcanoes (>3.9 Ga), and proposed planetwide volcanism in martian early history (>3.5 Ga, Werner, 2009). However the ages of the oldest volcanoes reported in this study provide a piece of evidence that allow us to track visible martian volcanism to as early as Early Noachian (>4.0 Ga). With reported ages of volcanoes of very late Amazonian (<0.1 Ga, Hauber et al., 2009, 2011), volcanism on Mars lasted longer than previously thought. The long time span can be divided into four overlapping phases (Fig. 5): a) phase I included widespread plains volcanism, which is defined as being an intermediate style between flood basalt and the Hawaii shields (Hauber et al., 2009), and globally distributed small constructs, including the Tharsis area before 4.0 Gyr, from pre-Noachian to Early Noachian; b) phase II represents major central volcanism around the Hellas basin, Tharsis paterae and Nili Patera between 4.0 Gyr and 3.7 Gyr, from Middle Noachian to Late Noachian; c) phase III was the major volcanism in the Tharsis and Elysium provinces between 3.7 Gyr and 3.5 Gyr, from Late Noachian to Late Hesperian; d) phase IV had waning volcanism in Tharsis and Elysium volcanic provinces, lasting from 3.5 Gyr to present, Amazonian, which may also occur as local plains volcanism that is related to partial melting in an anomalous warm mantle underneath a thickened crust (Hauber et al., 2009, 2011; Schumacher and Breuer, 2007).

Numerous and randomly distributed fissure and central volcanoes would be expected on the surface of Mars, as most of the geophysical models suggested. With rapid cooling in the Middle Noachian, the magma body solidified and only a few small, isolated chambers were left to produce the volcanoes in the southern highlands. Finally, magmas were produced by mantle plume activity and formed the Tharsis and Elysium volcanoes (Baratoux et al., 2011). This picture is shown in Fig. 6. However, we do not exclude the possibility that



Fig. 5. Integrated model constraints on thermal evolution and volcanism on Mars. Upper: N, Noachian; H, Hesperian; A, Amazonian. Volumetric flux of martian crust production rate (dashed line) and thickening of the martian stagnant lid (solid line) as functions of time t (cooling models are after Breuer and Spohn, 2006). The models base on the assumption of a dry martian mantle and without a primordial crust and initial mantle temperature are 1900 K. There are other evolution scenarios with a wet mantle suggesting a different crustal production rate and stagnant lid thickness with time. Lower: Ages of global volcanism. Solid lines indicate recognizable volcanoes with crater counts ages, whereas dotted lines correspond to inferred volcanism. I, II, III and IV represent four volcanic phases. See the text for detailed discussions.

there was a catastrophic volcanic event in the Early Hesperian, which could account for the Hesperian ridged plains materials (Carr and Head, 2010), such as Lunae Planum, Amazonis Planitia, Solis Planum, Syrtis Major Planitia (Saunders et al., 1981), and the circum-Hellas ridged plains (Malea Planum, Promethei Terra, Hesperia Planum, and the eastern Hellas basin floor) that are contemporaneous with the formation of the major volcanic edifices (3.6–3.8 Ga) in the circum-Hellas volcanic province (Williams et al., 2010). Clearly, these ridged plains are parts of Tharsis, circum-Hellas, Elysium and Syrtis Major volcanic provinces, and could be of volcanic origin. This implies that all of the four large volcanic provinces have experienced catastrophic eruption events at Late Noachian to Early Hesperian time.

It is noted that the ancient small volcanoes are mostly located to the south and southeast of the Tharsis rise, which is the largest volcanic province on Mars and which experienced the longest volcanic history (Greeley and Spudis, 1981). The small volcanoes could represent the initial stages of volcanic activity in the Tharsis province. We propose that the Tharsis super-plume initiated and generated a large population of small volcanoes in this region, similar to those located in Venusian volcanic lava plains (Head et al., 1992). Most of these volcanoes ceased activity before and shortly after 4.0 Ga, except for the large volcanoes (such as Olympus Mons and Alba Mons).

5. Summary and concluding remarks

Mars has experienced a long volcanic history and has some of the best preserved early volcanic features among terrestrial planets, which provide unique insight into the thermal history of the inner



Fig. 6. Volcanic features formed during the early history of Mars. Volcanism in martian early history was changed from initial global distributed central and fissure-central volcanoes, and plain volcanism (I), to regional volcanism (II) then to locally activated and mantle plume controlled volcanism, which formed the predominant large volcanic provinces (LVP) (III, e.g. Tharsis, Elysium, circum-Hellas and Syrtis Major) and ridged plains (e.g. Lunae Planum, Amazonis Planitia, Solis Planum, Syrtis Major Planitia, Malea Planum, Promethei Terra, Hesperia Planum, and the eastern Hellas basin floor), and local recent small shields and plains volcanism (IV, e.g. Tharsis rise). Most of the oldest volcanoes have been modified by impact cratering, channeling, and tectonic deformation, covered by later volcanic materials, and few were preserved. Not to scale. See text for details.

planets. Available high spatial resolution global image data set (e.g. THEMIS, HRSC and CTX) allow us to re-examine heavily-eroded surface features and assess whether they could be some of the oldest volcanoes on Mars, or perhaps even some of the oldest volcanoes of the solar system. The main results of this study are:

- The identification of 75 relatively small ancient volcanoes in the southern highlands; they are divided into three groups according to their state of degradation and morphological similarities to shield volcanoes. We interpret most of these features as shieldlike, central volcanic edifices with diameters ranging from 50 to 100 km, heights of 2–3 km, and which formed during the Early Noachian (>4.0 Ga). These features constitute the oldest volcanoes reported on Mars.
- 2) Most of these features are spatially adjacent to or partially overlap the Tharsis Province and the circum-Hellas Volcanic Province, and suggest that these ancient volcanoes are part of these two large volcanic provinces, possibly indicating that the volcanic histories of these provinces was longer than previously thought.
- 3) Volcanic features are closely related to the thermal history of Mars. Volcanism started with globally distributed fissure and small central volcanoes (>4.0 Ga) and then declined to a few locations (<3.5 Ga) because of a decrease in interior heat production, e.g. Tharsis, circum-Hellas, Elysium, and Syrtis Major.

The international Mars exploration programs have brought untold new knowledge about Mars. New small volcanic edifices and their surface modification features provide new evidence to understand early volcanic history and environmental changes from Early Noachian to Late Noachian. However, some important questions related to early martian volcanology and environmental changes remain: 1) where and how old are the oldest volcano(s) on Mars? If the earliest volcanism was globally distributed and constructed numerous small volcanic edifices, most could have been buried and partially buried by later volcanic materials and/or destroyed by impact cratering. Another difficulty is the small sizes of the volcanoes, which challenges dating methods. Some small volcanoes could have survived from large impacts and it could be possible to obtain a younger age relative to those encountered big impact. To solve this problem, we should consider studying the surface features, morphology and the ages of underlying terrains in more detail; 2) moreover, we do not know much about the true composition of these oldest volcanoes. Available CRISM and OMEGA, and TES data have been reviewed for these volcanoes, however, most of these volcanoes are heavily dust-covered and in-situ composition of outcrop rocks is currently impossible to obtain; 3) there is still a debate about early martian atmosphere and environment changes. Radial fluid channels observed on these old volcanoes could be resulted from rain fall, due to a warm and wet environment or local big impact events. These should be evaluated through future studies.

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.01.027.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (41072045), Doctoral Fund of Ministry of Education of China (20090145110001) and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry (2010012009). Saadat Anwar, Christopher Edwards, Scott Dickenshied and Dale Noss provided technical support for data processing. Ronald Greeley and David A. Williams were supported by NASA through the Jet Propulsion Laboratory for US participation in the ESA Mars Express mission and through the NASA Planetary Geology and Geophysics Program. We thank Editor Dr. Tilman Spohn, Dr. Stephanie Werner and other three anonymous reviewers for constructive comments, which greatly helped improve our manuscript.

References

- Adams, J.B., Gillespie, A.R., Jackson, M.P.A., Montgomery, D.R., Dooley, T.P., Combe, J.-P., Schreiber, B.C., 2009. Salt tectonics and collapse of Hebes Chasma, Valles Marineris. Mars. Geology 37 (8), 691–694. doi:10.1130/G30024A.1.
- Arvidson, R.E., Coradini, M., Carusi, A., Coradini, A., Fulchignoni, M., Federico, C., Funiciello, R., Salomone, M., 1976. Latitudinal variation of wind erosion of crater ejecta deposits on Mars. Icarus 27, 503–516.
- Banerdt, W.B., Golombek, M.P., 2000. Tectonics of the tharsis region, insights from MGS topography and gravity. Proc. 31st Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf., p. 2038.
- Banerdt, W.B., Phillips, R.J., Sleep, N.H., Saunders, R.S., 1982. Thick shell tectonics on one-plate planets—applications to Mars. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 87, 9723–9733.
- Baptista, A.R., Mangold, N., Ansan, V., Baratoux, D., Lognonné, P., Alves, E.I., Williams, D.A., Bleacher, J.E., Masson, P., Neukum, G., 2008. A swarm of small shield volcanoes on Syria Planum, Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 113, E09010.
- Baratoux, D., Toplis, M.J., Monnereau, M., Gasnault, O., 2011. Thermal history of Mars inferred from orbital geochemistry of volcanic provinces. Nature 472 (7343), 338–341 (Apr 21).
- Barlow, 2005. A new model for pedestal crater formation. Role of Volatiles and Atmospheres on Martian Impact Craters Conference. . #3041.
- Breuer, D., Spohn, T., 2003. Early plate tectonics versus single-plate tectonics on Mars: evidence from magnetic field history and crust evolution. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 5072. doi:10.1029/2002JE001999.
- Breuer, D., Spohn, T., 2006. Viscosity of the Martian mantle and its initial temperature: constraints from crust formation history and the evolution of the magnetic field. Planet. Space Sci. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2005.08.008 Proc. 31st Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. Carr, M.H., 2006. The Surface of Mars. Cambridge University Press. 307 pp.
- Carr, M.H., Bead, J.W., 2010. Geologic history of Mars. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 294, 185–203. Condit, C.D., Soderblom, L.A., 1978. Geologic Map of the Mare Australe Area of Mars.
- USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1076. Craddock, R.A., Greeley, R., Christensen, P.R., 1990. Evidence for an ancient impact basin
- in Daedalia-Planum, Mars. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth Planets 95, 10729–10741.
- DeHon, R.A., 1977. Geologic Map of the Eridania Quadrangle of Mars.USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1008.
- Dohm, J.M., Tanaka, K.L., 1999. Geology of the Thaumasia region, Mars: plateau development, valley origins, and magmatic evolution. Planet Space Sci. 47, 411–431.
- Dohm, J.M., Anderson, R.C., Tanaka, K.L., 1998. Planetary mapping-digital structural mapping of Mars. Astron. Geophys. 39, 20–22.
- Dohm, J.M., Ferris, J.C., Baker, V.R., Anderson, R.C., Hare, T.M., Strom, R.G., Barlow, N.G., Tanaka, K.L., Klemaszewski, J.E., Scott, D.H., 2001a. Ancient drainage basin of the Tharsis region, Mars: potential source for outflow channel systems and putative oceans or paleolakes. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 106, 32943–32958.
- Dohm, J.M., Tanaka, K.L., Hare, T.M., 2001b. Geologic Map of the Thaumasia Region of Mars. USGS Misc. Inv. Ser., Map I-2650.
- Edwards, C.S., Nowicki, K.J., Christensen, P.R., Hill, J., Gorelick, N., Murray, K., 2011. Mosaicking of global planetary image datasets: 1. Techniques and data processing for Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS) multi-spectral data. J. Geophys. Res. 116, E10008. doi:10.1029/2010JE003755.
- Fassett, C.I., Head, J.W., 2007. Valley formation on martian volcanoes in the Hesperian: evidence for melting of summit snowpack, caldera lake formation, drainage and erosion on Ceraunius Tholus. Icarus 189, 118–135.
- Fraeman, A.A., Korenaga, J., 2010. The influence of mantle melting on the evolution of Mars. Icarus 210, 43–57.
- French, B.M., 1998. Traces of Catastrophe: A Handbook of Shock-Metamorphic Effects, Terrestrial Meteorite Impact Structures. Simthsonian Institution, Washington DC. 97p.
- Ghatan, G.J., Head III, J.W., 2002. Candidate subglacial volcanoes in the south polar region of Mars: morphology, morphometry, and eruption conditions. J. Geophys. Res. 107 (E7), 5048. doi:10.1029/2001JE001519.
- Greeley, R., Guest, J.E., 1987. Geologic map of the eastern equatorial regions of Mars. USGS Misc. Inv. Ser., Map I-1802-B.
- Greeley, R., Schneid, B.D., 1991. Magma generation on Mars—amounts, rates, and comparisons with Earth, Moon, and Venus. Science 254, 996–998.

Greeley, R., Spudis, P.D., 1981. Volcanism on Mars. Rev. Geophys. 19, 13-41.

- Grott, M., Hauber, E., Werner, S.C., Kronberg, P., 2005. High heat flux on ancient Mars: evidence from rift flank uplift at Coracis Fossae. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32, L21201. doi:10.1029/2005GL023894.
- Grott, M., Kronberg, P., Hauber, E., Cailleau, B., 2007. Formation of th double rift system in the Thaumasia Highlands, Mars. Form Geophys. Res. Lett. 112, E06006. doi:10.1029/2006JE002800.
- Hartmann, W.K., 1966. Martian cratering. Icarus 5, 565-576.
- Hartmann, W.K., 2005. Martian cratering 8: isochron refinement and the chronology of Mars. Icarus 174, 294–320.
- Hartmann, W.K., Neukum, G., 2001. Cratering chronology and the evolution of Mars. Space Sci. Rev. 96, 165–194.
- Hauber, E., Kronberg, P., 2001. Tempe Fossae, Mars: a planetary analogon to a terrestrial continental rift? J. Geophys. Res. 106 (E9), 20,587–20,602.
- Hauber, E., Bleacher, J., Gwinner, K., Williams, D., Greeley, R., 2009. The topography and morphology of low shields and associated landforms of plains volcanism in the Tharsis region of Mars. J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res. 185 (1–2), 69–95.
- Hauber, E., Brož, P., Jagert, F., Jodlowski, P., Platz, T., 2011. Very recent and wide-spread basaltic volcanism on Mars. Geophys. Res. Lett.. doi:10.1029/2011GL047310
- Hauck, S.A., Phillips, R.J., 2002. Thermal and crustal evolution of Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 107, 5052. doi:10.1029/2001JE001801.
- Head, J.W., Crumpler, L.S., Aubele, J.C., Guest, J.E., Saunders, R.S., 1992. Venus volcanism: classification of volcanic features and structures, associations, and global distribution from Magellan data. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 13153–13197.

Hodges, C.A., Moore, H.J., 1994. Atlas of volcanic landforms on Mars. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 16-1534.

Howard III, J.H., 1979. Geologic Map of the Phaethontis Quadrangle of Mars. USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1145.

- Ivanov, B.A., 2001. Mars/Moon cratering rate ratio estimates. Space Sci. Rev. 96, 87-104.
- Keller, T., Tackley, P., 2009. Towards self-consistent modeling of the martian dichotomy: the influence of one-ridge convection on crustal thickness distribution. Icarus 202, 429–443. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.03.029.
- Kronberg, P., Hauber, E., Grott, M., Werner, S.C., Schifer, T., Gwinner, K., Giese, B., Masson, P., Neukum, G., 2007. Acheron Fossae, Mars: tectonic rifting, volcanism, and implications for lithospheric thickness. J. Geophys. Res. 112. doi:10.1029/ 2006JE002780 CiteID E04005.
- Li, Q., Kiefer, W.S., 2007. Mantle convection and magma production on present-day Mars: effects of temperature-dependent rheology. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, L16203. doi:10.1029/2007GL030544.
- Malin, M.C., Edgett, K.S., Posiolova, L.V., McColley, S.M., Dobrea, E.Z.N., 2006. Present-day impact cratering rate and contemporary gully activity on Mars. Science 314, 1573–1577.
- Malin, M.C., Bell III, James F., Cantor, Bruce A., Caplinger, Michael A., et al., 2007. Context Camera Investigation on board the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter. J. Geophys. Res. 112, E05S04. doi:10.1029/2006/E002808.
- Masursky, H., Dial, A.L., Strobell, M.E., 1978. Geologic Map of the Phoenicis Lacus Quadrangle of Mars. USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-896.
- McGill, G.E., 1978. Geologic map of the Thaumasia quadrangle of Mars. USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1077.
- McGovern, P.J., Solomon, S.C., Smith, D.E., Zuber, M.T., Simons, M., Wieczorek, M.A., Phillips, R.J., Neumann, G.A., Aharonson, O., Head, J.W., 2002. Localized gravity/topography admittance and correlation spectra on Mars: implications for regional and global evolution. J. Geophys. Res. 107 (E12), 5136. doi:10.1029/2002JE001854.
- McGovern, P.J., Solomon, S.C., Smith, D.E., Zuber, M.T., Simons, M., Wieczorek, M.A., Phillips, R.J., Neumann, G.A., Aharonson, O., Head, J.W., 2004. Correction to "Localized gravity/topography admittance and correlation spectra on Mars: implications for regional and global evolution". J. Geophys. Res. 109, E07007.
- Melosh, H.J., Vickery, A.M., 1989. Impact erosion of the primordial atmosphere of Mars. Nature 338, 487–489.
- Michael, G., Neukum, G., 2008. Surface Dating: Software Tool for Analysing Crater Size-Frequency Distributions Including Those Showing Partial Resurfacing Events. 39th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference.
- Moore, H.J., 1980. Geologic Map of the Sinus Sabaeus Quadangle of Mars. USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1196.
- Morschhauser, A., Grott, M., Breuer, D., 2011. Crustal recycling, mantle dehydration, and the thermal evolution of Mars. Icarus 212, 541–558. doi:10.1016/ j.icarus.2010.12.028.
- Moutsoulas, M., Preka, P., 1982. Morphological-characteristics of lunar craters with moderate depth diameter ratio. Moon Planets 27, 111–130.
- Mutch, T.A., Morris, E.C., 1979. Geologic Map of the Memnonia Quadrangle of Mars. USGS Misc. Geol. mv. Map I-1137.
- Neukum, G., 1983. Meteoritenbombardement und Datierung planetarer Oberflächen. Ludwig-Maximilians Univ. München, Munich. p. 186.
- Neukum, G., Hiller, K., 1981. Martian ages. J. Geophys. Res. 3097-3121.
- Neukum, G., Wise, D.U., 1976. Mars-standard crater curve and possible new time scale. Science 194, 1381-1387.
- Neukum, G., Basilevsky, A.T., Chapman, M.G., Werner, S.C., Gasselt, S.V., Jaumann, R., Hauber, E., Hoffmann, H., Wolf, U., Head, J.W., Greeley, R., McCord, T.B., Team, H.C.-I., 2007. The Geologic Evolution of Mars: Episodicity of feurfacture Events and Ages from Cratering Analysis of Image Data and Correlation with Radiometric Ages of Martian Meteorites. 38th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference.
- Neukum, G., Basilevsky, A.T., Kneissl, T., Chapmam, M.G., van Gasselt, S., Michael, G., Jaumann, R., Hoffmann, H., Lanz, J.K., 2010. The geologic evolution of Mars: episodicity of resurfacing events and ages from cratering analysis of image data and correlation with radiometric ages of Martian meteorites. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 294, 204–222.
- Nimmo, F., Stevenson, D.J., 2001. Estimates of martian crustal thickness from viscous relaxation of topography. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 5085–5098.
- Nimmo, F., Tanaka, K., 2005. Early crustal evolution of mars. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 33, 133–161.
- Norman, M.D., 1999. The composition and thickness of the crust of Mars estimated from REE and Nd isotopic compositions of martian meteorites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 34, 439–449.
- Norman, M.D., 2002. Thickness and composition of the martian crust revisited: Implications of an ultradepleted mantle with a Nd isotopic composition like that of QUE94201. Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 33 (Abstract 1174).

- Phillips, R.J., Neumann, G.A., Aharonson, O., Head, J.W., 2002. Localized gravity/topography admittance and correlation spectra on Mars: implications for regional and global evolution. J. Geophys. Res. 107, 5136. doi:10.1029/2002JE001854.
- Plescia, J.B., 2004. Morphometric properties of Martian volcanoes. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 109, E03003. doi:10.1029/2002JE002031.
- Robbins, SJ., Di Achille, G., Hynek, B.M., 2011. The volcanic history of Mars: high-resolution crater-based studies of the calderas of 20 volcanoes. Icarus 211, 1179–1203.
- Saunders, R.S., 1979. Geologic map of the Margaritifer Sinus quadrangle of Mars: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I–1144, scale 1:5,000,000.
- Saunders, R.S., Roth, L.E., Downs, G.S., Schubert, G., 1980. Early volcanic-tectonic province: Coprates region of Mars, Reports of Planetary Geology Program. NASA Tech. Memo. 74–75.
- Saunders, R.S., Bills, T.G., Johanson, L., 1981. The Ridged Plains of Mars. Twelfth Lunar and Planetary Science Conference.
- Schubert, G., Solomon, S.C., Turcotte, D.L., Drake, M.J., Sleep, N.H., 1992. Origin and thermal evolution of Mars. In: Kieffer, H.H., Jakosky, B., Snyder, C.W., Matthews, M.S. (Eds.), Mars, pp. 147–183.
- Schumacher, S., Breuer, D., 2007. An alternative mechanism for recent volcanism on Mars. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34 (14), L14202. doi:10.1029/2007GL030083.
- Scott, D.H., King, J.S., 1984. Ancient surfaces of Mars: the basement complex. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 736–737.
- Scott, D.H., Tanaka, K.L., 1980. Mars Tharsis region: Volcanotectonic events in the stratigraphic record: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 11th, Houston, March 17–21, 1980. Proceedings, pp. 2403–2421.
- Scott, D.H., Tanaka, K.L., 1981a. Mars—paleostratigraphic restoration of buried surfaces in Tharsis-Montes. Icarus 45, 304–319.
- Scott, D.H., Tanaka, K.L., 1981b. Mars: a large highland volcanic province revealed by Viking images. Proc. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 12th, pp. 1449–1458.
- Scott, D.H., Tanaka, K.L., 1986. Geologic map of the western equatorial region of Mars, USGS Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1802.
- Skinner, J.A., Hare, T.M., Tanaka, K.L., 2006. Digital renovation of the atlas of Mars 1:15,000,000-scale global geologic series maps. Lunar Planet. Sci., XXXVII, Abstract 2331.
- Spohn, T., 1991. Mantle differentiation and thermal evolution of Mars, Mercury, and Venus. Icarus 90, 222–236. doi:10.1016/0019-1035(91)90103-Z.
- Stewart, E.M., Head, J.W., 2001. Ancient Martian volcanoes in the Aeolis region: new evidence from MOLA data. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 106, 17505–17513.
- Tanaka, K.L., Davis, P.A., 1988. Tectonic history of the Syria Planum Province of Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 93, 14,893–14,917.
- Tanaka K.L., Scott, D.H., 1987. Geologic map of the polar regions of Mars. USGS Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1802-C.
- Tanaka, K.L., Scott, D.H., Greeley, R., 1992. Global stratigraphy. In: Kieffer, H.H., Jakosky, B., Snyder, C.W., Matthews, M.S. (Eds.), Mars. University of Arizona Press, pp. 345–382.
- Werner, S.C., 2005. Major Aspects of the Chronostratigraphy and Geologic Evolutionary History of Mars. Freie Universitaet Berlin, Berlin.
- Werner, S.C., 2009. The global martian volcanic evolutionary history. Icarus 201, 44–68.
- Wieczorek, M.A., Zuber, M.T., 2004. Thickness of the martian crust: improved constraints from geoid-to-topography ratios. J. Geophys. Res. 109 (E1), E01009. doi:10.1029/2003JE002153.
- Williams, D.A., Greeley, R., Werner, S.C., Michael, G., Crown, D.A., Neukum, G., Raitala, J., 2008. Tyrrhena Patera: geologic history derived from Mars express high resolution stereo camera. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 113, E11005. doi:10.1029/2008JE003104.
- Williams, D.A., Greeley, R., Fergason, R.L., Kuzmin, R., McCord, T.B., Combe, J.P., Head, J.W., Xiao, L., Manfredi, L., Poulet, F., Pinet, P., Baratoux, D., Plaut, J.J., Raitala, J., Neukum, G., Team, H.C.-I., 2009. The CircumCircum-Hellas Volcanic Province, Mars: overview. Planet Space Sci. 57, 895–916.
- Williams, D.A., Greeley, R., Manfredi, L., Raitala, J., Neukum, G., 2010. The CircumCircum-Hellas Volcanic Province, Mars: assessment of wrinkle-ridged plains. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 294, 492–505.
- Witbeck, N.E., Tanaka, K.L., Scott, D.H., 1991. Geologic map of the Valles Marineris region, Mars (east half and west half; 1: 2,000,000). USGS Misc. Inv. Ser. Map I-2010.
- Xiao, L., Wang, C.Z., 2009. Geologic features of Wudalianchi volcanic field, northeastern China: implications for Martian volcanology. Planet. Space Sci. 57 (5–6), 685–698. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2008.08.005.
- Xiao, L., Greeley, R., Williams, D., 2008. Volcanism on mars: integrated constraints and implications for martian thermal history. 39th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. #1038.
- Xiao, L., Smith, M., Huang, J., He, Q., Petford, N., Williams, D.A., Liu, J.G., Greeley, R., 2009. Volcanic features on the Syria-Thaumasia Block, Mars: implications for ancient Martian volcanology. 40th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. #1026.